IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F051782 Daniel Horsford et al. v. The Board of Trustees of California State University

The order for attorney fees is conditionally reversed and is remanded for further proceedings. Upon remand, the trial court shall determine whether block-billing hours, as described above, were excluded from the fee award. If the court so determines, the court must permit the parties to make further submissions concerning the block-billing hours and, after hearing, the court shall enter a new order for attorney fees, having exercised its judicial discretion in adjusting the block-billing hours to reflect the reasonable compensation for the services in question. However, if the trial court determines that it did not exclude block-billing hours and that it already has exercised its discretion to adjust those hours, the trial court shall reinstate its previous order, which shall become the final order on attorney fees. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal. The trial court shall award attorney fees on appeal to appellants if proceedings on remand result in an increase in the original order for fees. Vartabedian, Acting P.J.

We concur: Wiseman, J.; Gomes, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F054402 People v. Roark

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F054402 People v. Roark

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F052244 People v. Molina

The judgment is reversed and a new trial is ordered. Gomes, J.

We concur: Vartabedian, Acting P.J.; Hill, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F054260 People v. Kyle

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

F054260 People v. Kyle

The trial court is directed to correct its paperwork to show that the court imposed the \$200 base fine (that w/penalties, assessments, and fees totaled \$740) pursuant to section 11372, subdivision (a). In all other respects the judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F053614 People v. Quintero

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F053614 People v. Quintero

The superior court is directed to modify the abstract of judgment in the following manner. The Court shall delete the sentence stating "Pursuant to 13386(a) VC, defendant ordered to install the interlock device on any vehicle under his custody and control for a period of 3 years" and shall replace the deleted sentence with: "Defendant is ordered to install a certified interlock device on any vehicle he owns or operates, and is prohibited from operating a motor vehicle unless that vehicle is equipped with a functioning, certified ignition interlock device. This court-ordered restriction shall expire on July 12, 2010. Nothing in this court-ordered restriction is intended to limit or purports to limit the authority of the Department of Motor Vehicles to impose any licensing restriction it is otherwise authorized by law to impose. Installation of an ignition interlock device on a vehicle does not allow defendant to drive without a valid driver's license. (VC23575(c).) In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F055456 People v. Hernandez

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 8.220(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F055830 Alvarado v. Kephart et al.

Appellant having failed to perform the acts necessary to procure the record after the clerk of the trial court issued notice pursuant to the provisions of rule 8.140, California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F055076 People v. Kassebaum

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 8.220(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District